Procedure for conducting attestation in discipline «Biology» for students of 2025 year of admission under the educational programme 31.05.02 Dentistry, specialisation Dentistry (Specialist's), form of study full-time for the 2025-2026 academic year

1. General principles for calculating the rating in the discipline

The rating for a discipline is an individual assessment of the student's study of the discipline, which consists of the rating for the entire period of study of the discipline (preliminary rating) and the rating of the intermediate attestation.

- 2. Calculation of preliminary rating components
- 2.1. General principles

The final rating for the discipline (Rd) is calculated using the following formula:

Rd = (Rp+Ria) / 2 wrere:
Rd - rating for a discipline
Rp - preliminary rating
Ria - rating of the intermediate attestation

The discipline is studied during one semester (the first one), therefore the preliminary rating for the discipline for the entire period of study (Rp) corresponds to the semester rating of the disciplines in the first semester (Rsem):

$$Rp = Rsem$$

The semester rating of a discipline is calculated using the formula:

Rsem = (Rcurrent + Riw) / 2 + Rb - Rpr

where Rcurrent is the current rating for the discipline,

Riw is the rating of the student's independent work within the discipline,

Rb is the bonus rating, Rpr is the penalty rating.

The maximum number of points a student can receive for a course is 100. The minimum number of points for which the course must be passed is 61.

2.2 Calculating the current rating in the semester

The current rating in the semester (Rcurrent) is calculated as the arithmetic mean of all grades received by the student during the semester of studying the discipline when completing assignments of current academic performance monitoring, which include the following types of assignments: testing, solving situational problems, assessing the acquisition of practical skills (abilities), writing and defending an essay, interviewing on test questions.

The completion of assignments is assessed by the teacher at each seminar-type lesson based on the criteria presented below (Table 1) on a classic 5-point scale, where:

2 - unsatisfactory; 3 - satisfactory; 4 - good; 5 - excellent.

Table 1 Criteria for the forms of current certification used

Task type	Assessment	5-point scale				
	criteria	5	4	3	2	
Testing	☐ % of true answers	91-100	76-90	61-75	< 61	
Case study	Correctness of the answer received:	correct	correct	correct partially	incorrect	
	Availability, completeness and correctness of the justification for the response	received justified without comments	justified with comments	partially justified	justification absent	
Interview on control questions	Correctness of the answer received:	correct	correct	correct partially incomplete	incorrect	
	Completeness of the answer	complete	complete sufficiently	incomplete	incomplete	
	Structure and logic of the answer	structured, logical	mostly structured, logical	poorly structured, logic is broken	unstructured, fragmented, chaotic	
Writing and defending an abstract, preparing a report	logic of the logical structured, answer logical logic is broken logical assessment:				ed): Il information Intent Then distributing Theses to answer	

Skills	Knowledge of	knowledge	knowledge	knowledge is	lack of
	the theoretical			not formed	knowledge
	foundations of				
	performing a				
	skill				
	Compliance	successful	successful	refusal to	refusal to
	with the	result	result	perform the	perform the skill
	technique of		after	skill	
	performing the		correction		
	skill and the		by the teacher		
	success of the				
	result				
	compliance,				
	compliance				
	with minor				
	inaccuracies,				

## 2.3. Calculation of the rating of independent work of a student in a semester (Riw)

The IW rating in a semester corresponds to the student's assessment for completing the IW electronic training course for a given discipline on the electronic information and educational portal of the Volgograd State Medical University of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation. One semester of studying a discipline includes completing one IW electronic training course.

IW assessment is carried out based on the criteria presented below (table 2) on a classic 5-point scale, where: 2 - unsatisfactory; 3 - satisfactory; 4 - good; 5 - excellent.

2.4. Conversion of the current rating and the independent student's work rating into a score on a 100-point system

At the end of each study, the student's Rcpo is calculated and the calculated value is converted to a 100-point scale according to Table 3.

The absence of current debt is considered to be an Riw value of more than 61 points.

Converting the current rating and IW rating to a score on a 100-point system

At the end of the semester, the student's current rating and IW rating, calculated on a 5-point system, are converted to a score on a 100-point system. The conversion is made according to Table 3.

Table 3
Translation into rating points on a 100-point system

5-point system	100- point system	5-point system	100- point system	5-point system	100- point system	5-point system	100- point system
5,00	100	3,45	70	2,48	40	2,09	10
4,95	99	3,40	69	2,46	39	2,08	9
4,90	98	3,35	68	2,44	38	2,07	8
4,85	97	3,30	67	2,42	37	2,06	7

		,		,	,		
4,80	96	3,25	66	2,40	36	2,05	6
4,75	95	3,20	65	2,38	35	2,04	5
4,70	94	3,15	64	2,36	34	2,03	4
4,65	93	3,10	63	2,34	33	2,02	3
4,60	92	3,05	62	2,32	32	2,01	2
4,5	91	3,00	61	2,30	31	2,00	1
4,47	90	2,98	60	2,29	30		
4,43	89	2,95	59	2,28	29		
4,40	88	2,93	58	2,27	28		
4,37	87	2,90	57	2,26	27		
4,33	86	2,88	56	2,25	26		
4,30	85	2,85	55	2,24	25		
4,27	84	2,83	54	2,23	24		
4,23	83	2,80	53	2,22	23		
4,20	82	2,78	52	2,21	22		
4,17	81	2,75	51	2,20	21		
4,13	80	2,73	50	2,19	20		
4,10	79	2,70	49	2,18	19		
4,07	78	2,68	48	2,17	18		
4,03	77	2,65	47	2,16	17		
4,00	76	2,63	46	2,15	16		
3,90	75	2,60	45	2,14	15		
3,80	74	2,58	44	2,13	14		
3,70	73	2,55	43	2,12	13		
3,60	72	2,53	42	2,11	12		
3,50	71	2,50	41	2,10	11		

## 2.5. Bonus and Penalty Rating

Bonuses and penalties are set on a 100-point scale. Bonus and penalty criteria are given in Table 4.

Table 4.

Type of bonus	Points
Educational and research work on the topics of the subject being	
studied	+ 5,0
Certificate, diploma, etc. of the participant	
Type of Penalty	Points

Missing a lecture or practical lesson without reason	- 2,0
Failure to complete assignments during practical classes	- 2,0
Systematic lateness to lectures or practical classes	- 1,0
Violation of safety regulations	- 2,0
Damage to equipment and property	- 2,0

## 3. Calculation of the intermediate attestation rating (R ia)

Intermediate assessment in the discipline is carried out in the form of an exam. The exam is conducted in the form of an interview with an assessment of the formation of the practical component of the competencies being formed, including questions on all studied sections of the program and the solution of a situational problem. The assessment of the level of formation of the necessary competencies in the student is carried out on a 100-point scale according to the criteria of Table 5.

Criteria for assessing the level of assimilation of the discipline material and the formation of competencies

Characteristics of the answer	Points ECTS	Points	Level of development of competence in the discipline
A full, detailed answer to the question is given, a set	A	100-96	
of conscious knowledge about the object is shown,			
manifested in free operation of concepts, the ability to			
identify its essential and non-essential features, cause-			HIGH
and-effect relationships. Knowledge about the object			Inon
is demonstrated against the background of its			
understanding in the system of this science and			
interdisciplinary connections.			
The answer is formulated in scientific terms,			
presented in literary language, logical, evidence-			
based, demonstrates the author's position of the			
student. The student demonstrates a high advanced			
level of competence formation. Intermediate			
attestation is passed.			
A complete, detailed answer to the question is given,	В	95-91	
the totality of conscious knowledge about the object is			
shown, the main provisions of the topic are			
convincingly disclosed; the answer shows a clear			
structure, logical sequence, reflecting the essence of			
the concepts, theories, phenomena being disclosed.			
Knowledge about the object is demonstrated against			
the background of its understanding in the system of			
this science and interdisciplinary connections. The			
answer is presented in literary language in scientific			
terms. There may be shortcomings in the definition of			
concepts, corrected by the student independently in			
the process of answering. The student demonstrates a			
high level of competence development.			
Intermediate attestation assessment passed.			

A full, detailed answer to the question is given, the	С	90-81	
ability to identify essential and non-essential features,			
cause-and-effect relationships is demonstrated. The			
answer is clearly structured, logical, presented in			
literary language in scientific terms. There may be			
shortcomings or minor errors corrected by the student			
with the help of the teacher. The student demonstrates			
an average advanced level of competence			
development. Intermediate attestation passed.			
A full, detailed answer to the question is given, the	D	80-76	MIDDLE
ability to identify essential and non-essential features,			
cause-and-effect relationships is demonstrated. The			
answer is clearly structured, logical, and presented in			
scientific terms. However, minor errors or			
shortcomings were made, which were corrected by the			
student with the help of the teacher's "leading"			
questions. The student demonstrates an average			
sufficient level of competence development.			
Intermediate attestation passed.			
A complete but insufficiently consistent answer to the	Е	75-71	
question is given, but the ability to identify essential			
and non-essential features and cause-and-effect			
relationships is demonstrated. The answer is logical			
and presented in scientific terms. There may be 1-2			
errors in defining basic concepts that the student finds			
difficult to correct independently. The student			
demonstrates a low level of competence development.			
Intermediate attestation passed.			
The answer is not complete or detailed enough. The	Е	70-66	
logic and sequence of presentation are violated. Errors			LOW
were made in the disclosure of concepts and the use of			
terms. The student is not able to independently			
identify essential and non-essential features and			
cause-and-effect relationships. The student can			
concretize generalized knowledge, proving its main			
provisions using examples only with the help of the			
teacher. Speech design requires amendments,			
correction. The student demonstrates an extremely			
low level of competence development. Intermediate			
attestation passed.			
The answer is incomplete, the logic and sequence of	Е	65-61	
presentation have significant violations. Gross errors			
were made in determining the essence of the concepts,			
theories, phenomena being revealed, due to the			
student's misunderstanding of their essential and non-			MARGIN
essential features and connections. The answer lacks			,
conclusions. The ability to reveal specific			
manifestations of generalized knowledge is not			
demonstrated. Speech design requires amendments,			
special design requires unionaments,		<u> </u>	1

correction. The student demonstrates a threshold level			
of competence development. Intermediate attestation			
passed.			
. An incomplete answer is given, representing fragmentary knowledge on the topic of the question with significant errors in definitions. Fragmentation and illogical presentation are present. The student does not understand the connection of this concept, theory, phenomenon with other objects of the discipline. There are no conclusions, specification and evidence of the presentation. Speech is illiterate. Additional and clarifying questions from the teacher do not lead to the correction of the student's answer not only to the question posed, but also to other questions of the discipline. Competence is absent. Intermediate attestation has not been passed	Fx	60-41	LACK OF COMPETENCE
No answers were received to the basic questions of the	F	40-0	
discipline. The student does not demonstrate			
indicators of achievement of the formation of			
competencies. Competence is absent. Intermediate			
attestation has not been passed.			

4. Calculation of the final rating for the discipline

The final rating for the discipline (Rd) is calculated using the following formula:

## Rd = (Rp + Ria) / 2

The final score, calculated on a 100-point scale, is converted into a 5-point scale according to Table 6.

Final grade for the discipline

Table 6.

That State for the discipline							
100-point system	5-point system	Result	ECTS				
96-100	- 5	excellent	A				
91-95			В				
81-90	4	good	С				
76-80	7 4	good	D				
61-75	3	satisfactory	Е				
41-60	2	ymaatiafaataw.	Fx				
0-40	2	unsatisfactory	F				

Considered at the Biology department meeting, protocol of «20» May 2025 г. № 17

Head of the Department

G.L. Snigur