Procedure for conducting attestation in discipline «Biochemistry» for students of 2025 year of admission under the educational programme 31.05.01 General medicine, specialisation (profile) General medicine (Specialist's degree), form of study full-time for the 2025 - 2026 academic year

1. General principles for calculating the rating in the discipline

The rating for a discipline is an individual assessment of the student's study of the discipline, which consists of the rating for the entire period of study of the discipline (preliminary rating) and the rating of the intermediate attestation.

The final rating for the discipline (Rd) is calculated using the following formula:

Rd = (Rpreliminary + Rintermediate) / 2

where Rd is the rating for the discipline

R intermediate – intermediate certification rating (exam)

R preliminary – discipline average rating for the second and third semesters – individual assessment of the assimilation of the discipline in points for two semesters of study.

The average rating of the discipline for 2 semesters of study is calculated according to the following formula:

R preliminary = (Rpr2 + Rpr3)/2

where:

Rpr2 is the rating for the discipline in the second semester preliminary

Rpr3 is the rating of the discipline in the third semester preliminary

2. Calculation of preliminary rating components

The rating for the discipline in the 2nd and 3rd semesters is preliminary calculated according to the following formula:

$$Rpr = (R current + Riw) / 2 + Rb - Rp$$

where:

Recurrent is the current rating for the second or third semester (current academic performance, which is assessed according to the average score, taking into account tests and control papers).

Riw is the rating for account the assessment for independent work in the second or third semester.

Rb – bonus rating

R penalty – penalty rating

New types of rating were not introduced in the final semester of the discipline (spec. theory and spec.pract).

The maximum number of points that a student can receive in a discipline in a semester is 100. The minimum number of points at which the discipline should be credited is 61.

2.2. Calculating the current rating in the semester

1. The method of calculating the average score of current academic performance.

The rating score for the discipline (Rcurrent) is evaluated in total, taking into account the current academic performance, the assessment of which is carried out according to the average score, taking into account the assessment for independent work.

The student's knowledge and work in practical classes are evaluated by the teacher in each semester, according to the classical 5-point system.

2.3. Calculation of the rating of independent work of a student in a semester (Rcpo)

Independent work of students includes independent study of individual topics provided for in the work program. The students' reporting form is answers to questions and tests in lectures on the topics of independent work. Each topic of independent work is rated from 3 to 5 points, work rated below 3 points is not counted and requires completion by the student (Table 1).

Table 1. Calculation points for independent work of students

Evaluation criteria	Rating score
The work has not been completed, it has not been completed in full, the work does	0-2
not correspond to the subject of independent work.	
The work was submitted in full, but it made more than 2 rough thematic mistakes	3
or missed more than 1 key question of the topic of independent work.	
The work has been submitted in full, but 1-2 rough thematic errors have been	4
made in it or 1 key question of the topic of independent work has been missed.	
The work has been completed in full, there are no rough thematic errors in it, the	5
key issues of the topic of independent work have not been missed.	

2.4. Conversion of the current rating and the independent student's work rating into a score on a 100-point system

At the end of each semester, a centralized calculation of the student's average academic performance is performed, in the semester with its transfer to the 100-point system (Table 2).

Table 2. Conversion of the average score of the current academic performance, including the student's independent work into a rating score according to a 100-point system

Average score	Score on a	Average score	e Score on a	Average score	Score on a
on a 5-point	100- point	on a 5-point	100- point	on a 5-point	100- point
system	system	system	system	system	system
5,00	100	3,45	70	2,48	40
4,95	99	3,40	69	2,46	39
4,90	98	3,35	68	2,44	38
4,85	97	3,30	67	2,42	37
4,80	96	3,25	66	2,40	36
4,75	95	3,20	65	2,38	35
4,70	94	3,15	64	2,36	34
4,65	93	3,10	63	2,34	33
4,60	92	3,05	62	2,32	32
4,5	91	3,00	61	2,30	31
4,47	90	2,98	60	2,29	30
4,43	89	2,95	59	2,28	29
4,40	88	2,93	58	2,27	28
4,37	87	2,90	57	2,26	27
4,33	86	2,88	56	2,25	26
4,30	85	2,85	55	2,24	25
4,27	84	2,83	54	2,23	24
4,23	83	2,80	53	2,22	23
4,20	82	2,78	52	2,21	22
4,17	81	2,75	51	2,20	21
4,13	80	2,73	50	2,19	20
4,10	79	2,70	49	2,18	19
4,07	78	2,68	48	2,17	18
4,03	77	2,65	47	2,16	17
4,00	76	2,63	46	2,15	16
3,90	75	2,60	45	2,14	15
3,80	74	2,58	44	2,13	14
3,70	73	2,55	43	2,12	13
3,60	72	2,53	42	2,11	12
3,50	71	2,50	41	2,10	11

2.5. Bonus and Penalty Rating

This rating score calculation model provides bonuses that increase the rating score and penalties that lower the rating, according to the table below (Table 5).

Table 5. Bonuses and penalties for discipline

Bonuses	title	Points
ERWS	Educational and research work on the topics of the studied subject	up to + 5,0
SRWS	Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society department of the 1st degree	+ 5.0
	Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 2nd degree	+ 4.0
	Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 3rd degree	+ 3.0
	Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 4th degree	+ 2.0
	Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 5th degree	+ 1.0
Penalties	title	Points
Disciplinary	omission of lectures or practical classes without a valid reason	- 2.0
	Systematic lateness to lectures or practical classes	- 1.0
	Performing independent work not on time	- 1.0
	Violation of TV	- 2.0
Causing material damage	Damage to equipment and property	- 2.0

3. Calculation of the intermediate attestation rating

The method of calculating the intermediate certification score (exam) (Rexam)

Intermediate certification in the discipline is carried out in the form of an exam. The exam takes place in the form of an interview with an assessment of the formation of the practical component of the competencies being formed, which includes questions on all the studied sections of the program. The minimum number of points (Rexam) that can be obtained during an interview is 61, the maximum is 100 points (Table 4).

Table 4. Criteria for assessing the level of assimilation of discipline material and the formation of competencies.

Response Characteristics	ECTS	Points	The level of	Rating
--------------------------	------	--------	--------------	--------

	assessment	in BRS	professional	on a 5-
			competence in	point
			the discipline is	scale
			formed	
A complete, detailed answer to the question is	A	100–96	high	5
given, the totality of conscious knowledge				
about the object is shown, manifested in the				(5+)
free operation of concepts, the ability to				
distinguish				
its essential and non-essential signs, cause-and-				
effect relationships. Knowledge about the				
object				
is demonstrated against the background of				
understanding it in the system of this science				
and interdisciplinary connections. The answer				
is formulated in terms of science, presented in				
literary language, logical, evidential,				
demonstrates the author's position of the				
student. The student demonstrates a high				
advanced level of competence formation				
A complete, detailed answer to the question is	В	95–91	high	5
given, the totality of conscious knowledge				
about the object is shown, the main provisions				
of the topic are evidently disclosed; a clear				
structure, logical sequence is traced in the				
answer, reflecting the essence of the disclosed				
concepts, theories, phenomena. Knowledge				
about the object is demonstrated against the				
background of understanding it in the system of				
this science and interdisciplinary connections.				
The answer is presented in literary language in				
terms of science. There may be shortcomings				
in the definition of concepts, corrected by the				
student himself in the process of answering.				
The student demonstrates a high level of				
competence formation.	С	90–81	011040000	4
A full, detailed answer to the question is given,	C	90-81	average	4
the ability to identify essential and non- essential features is shown,				
essential realures is shown,				
causal relationships. The answer is clearly				
structured, logical, presented in literary				
language in terms of science. There may be				
shortcomings or minor errors corrected by the				

student with the help of a teacher. The student			
demonstrates an average increased level of			
competence formation.			
A full, detailed answer to the question is given, the ability to identify essential and non-	80-76	average	4 (4-)
essential signs, cause-and-effect relationships is			
shown. The answer is clearly structured,			
logical, stated in terms of science. However,			
minor mistakes or shortcomings were made,			
corrected by the student with the help of			
"leading" questions from the teacher. The			
student demonstrates an average sufficient			
level of competence formation.	75 71	1	2 (2.1)
	75-71	low	3 (3+)
answer to the question is given, but at the same			
time the ability to identify essential and non-			
essential signs and cause-and-effect			
relationships is shown. The answer is logical			
and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-			
2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts			
that the student finds it difficult to correct on			
their own. The student demonstrates a low			
level of competence formation.			
	70-66	low	3
detailed answer is given. The logic and			
sequence of the presentation have violations.			
Mistakes were made in the disclosure of			
concepts, the use of terms. The student is not			
able to independently identify essential and			
non-essential signs and cause-and-effect			
relationships. A student can concretize			
generalized knowledge by proving their main			
points by examples only with the help of a			
teacher. Speech design requires corrections,			
corrections.			
The student demonstrates an extremely low			
level of competence formation.			
An incomplete answer is given, the logic and E	65-61	threshold	3 (3-)
sequence of presentation have significant		İ	
sequence of presentation have significant			
violations. Gross mistakes were made in			
violations. Gross mistakes were made in			
violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed			

conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections.				
The student demonstrates the threshold level of				
competence formation.				
An incomplete answer is given, which	Fx	60-41	There is no	2
represents scattered knowledge on the topic of			competence.	
the question with significant errors in				
definitions. There is fragmentary, illogical				
presentation. The student does not realize the				
connection of this concept, theory,				
phenomenon with other objects of the				
discipline. There are no conclusions,				
concretization and evidence-based				
presentation. The speech is illiterate.				
Additional and clarifying questions from the				
teacher do not lead to correction of the				
student's answer not only to the question posed,				
but also to other questions of the discipline.				
There is no competence.				
No answers were received on the basic	F	40-0	There is no	2
questions of the discipline. The student does			competence.	
not demonstrate indicators of achieving the				
formation of competencies. There is no				
competence.				

4. Calculation of the final rating for the discipline

The final grade that the teacher puts in the record book is the final rating for the discipline (Rd), translated into a 5-point system (Table 6).

Table 6. Final assessment of the discipline

Assessment according to the 100-point system	Assessment according to the system "credited - not credited"	Assessment according to the 5-point s	
96-100	counted	5	excellent
91-95	counted	3	CACCIEIT
81-90	counted	4	good

76-80	counted		
61-75	counted	3	satisfactory
41-60	not credited	2	unsatisfactory
0-40	not credited		unsaustactory

Considered at the department meeting fundamental and clinical biochemistry, protocol of «29» may 2025 y., № 12.

Head of the Department of Basic and Clinical Biochemistry

O.V. Ostrovskij.