Procedure for conducting attestation in discipline «Medical Biochemistry» for students of 2024 year of admission under the educational programme 33.05.01 Pharmacy, specialisation (profile) Pharmacy (Specialist's degree), form of study full-time for the 2025 - 2026 academic year

1. General principles for calculating the rating in the discipline

The rating for a discipline is an individual assessment of the student's study of the discipline, which consists of the rating for the entire period of study of the discipline (preliminary rating) and the rating of the intermediate attestation.

The final rating for the discipline (Rd) is calculated using the following formula:

$$Rd = (Rpreliminary + Rintermediate) / 2$$

where Rd is the rating for the discipline

R intermediate – intermediate certification rating (exam)

R preliminary – discipline average rating for the third and fourth semesters – individual assessment of the assimilation of the discipline in points for two semesters of study.

The average rating of the discipline for 2 semesters of study is calculated according to the following formula:

$$R$$
 preliminary = $(Rpr3 + Rpr4)/2$

where:

Rpr3 is the rating for the discipline in the third semester preliminary

Rpr4 is the rating of the discipline in the fourth semester preliminary

2. Calculation of preliminary rating components

The rating for the discipline in the third and fourth semesters is preliminary calculated according to the following formula:

$$Rpr = (R current + Riw) / 2 + Rb - Rp$$

where:

Reurrent is the current rating for the third and fourth semester (current academic performance, which is assessed according to the average score, taking into account tests and control papers).

Riw is the rating for account the assessment for independent work in the third and fourth semesters.

Rb – bonus rating

R penalty – penalty rating

New types of rating were not introduced in the final semester of the discipline (spec. theory and spec.pract).

The maximum number of points that a student can receive in a discipline in a semester is 100. The minimum number of points at which the discipline should be credited is 61.

2.2. Calculating the current rating in the semester

1. The method of calculating the average score of current academic performance.

The rating score for the discipline (Rcurrent) is evaluated in total, taking into account the current academic performance, the assessment of which is carried out according to the average score, taking into account the assessment for independent work.

The student's knowledge and work in practical classes are evaluated by the teacher in each semester, according to the classical 5-point system.

2.3. Calculation of the rating of independent work of a student in a semester (Rcpo)

Independent work of students includes independent study of individual topics provided for in the work program. The students' reporting form is answers to questions and tests in lectures on the topics of independent work. Each topic of independent work is rated from 3 to 5 points, work rated below 3 points is not counted and requires completion by the student (Table 1).

Table 1. Calculation points for independent work of students

| Evaluation criteria | Rating score |
|---|--------------|
| The work has not been completed, it has not been completed in full, the work does not correspond to the subject of independent work. | 0-2 |
| The work was submitted in full, but it made more than 2 rough thematic mistakes or missed more than 1 key question of the topic of independent work. | 3 |
| The work has been submitted in full, but 1-2 rough thematic errors have been made in it or 1 key question of the topic of independent work has been missed. | 4 |
| The work has been completed in full, there are no rough thematic errors in it, the key issues of the topic of independent work have not been missed. | 5 |

2.4. Conversion of the current rating and the independent student's work rating into a score on a 100-point system

At the end of each semester, a centralized calculation of the student's average academic performance is performed, in the semester with its transfer to the 100-point system (Table 2).

Table 2. Conversion of the average score of the current academic performance, including the student's independent work into a rating score according to a 100-point system

| Average score | Score on a | Average score | eScore on a | Average score | eScore on a |
|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| on a 5-point | 100- point | on a 5-point | 100- point | on a 5-point | 100- point |
| system | system | system | system | system | system |
| 5,00 | 100 | 3,45 | 70 | 2,48 | 40 |
| 4,95 | 99 | 3,40 | 69 | 2,46 | 39 |
| 4,90 | 98 | 3,35 | 68 | 2,44 | 38 |
| 4,85 | 97 | 3,30 | 67 | 2,42 | 37 |
| 4,80 | 96 | 3,25 | 66 | 2,40 | 36 |
| 4,75 | 95 | 3,20 | 65 | 2,38 | 35 |
| 4,70 | 94 | 3,15 | 64 | 2,36 | 34 |
| 4,65 | 93 | 3,10 | 63 | 2,34 | 33 |
| 4,60 | 92 | 3,05 | 62 | 2,32 | 32 |
| 4,5 | 91 | 3,00 | 61 | 2,30 | 31 |
| 4,47 | 90 | 2,98 | 60 | 2,29 | 30 |
| 4,43 | 89 | 2,95 | 59 | 2,28 | 29 |
| 4,40 | 88 | 2,93 | 58 | 2,27 | 28 |
| 4,37 | 87 | 2,90 | 57 | 2,26 | 27 |
| 4,33 | 86 | 2,88 | 56 | 2,25 | 26 |
| 4,30 | 85 | 2,85 | 55 | 2,24 | 25 |
| 4,27 | 84 | 2,83 | 54 | 2,23 | 24 |
| 4,23 | 83 | 2,80 | 53 | 2,22 | 23 |
| 4,20 | 82 | 2,78 | 52 | 2,21 | 22 |
| 4,17 | 81 | 2,75 | 51 | 2,20 | 21 |
| 4,13 | 80 | 2,73 | 50 | 2,19 | 20 |
| 4,10 | 79 | 2,70 | 49 | 2,18 | 19 |
| 4,07 | 78 | 2,68 | 48 | 2,17 | 18 |
| 4,03 | 77 | 2,65 | 47 | 2,16 | 17 |
| 4,00 | 76 | 2,63 | 46 | 2,15 | 16 |
| 3,90 | 75 | 2,60 | 45 | 2,14 | 15 |
| 3,80 | 74 | 2,58 | 44 | 2,13 | 14 |
| 3,70 | 73 | 2,55 | 43 | 2,12 | 13 |
| 3,60 | 72 | 2,53 | 42 | 2,11 | 12 |
| 3,50 | 71 | 2,50 | 41 | 2,10 | 11 |

2.5. Bonus and Penalty Rating

This rating score calculation model provides bonuses that increase the rating score and penalties that lower the rating, according to the table below (Table 5).

Table 5. Bonuses and penalties for discipline

| Bonuses | Bonuses title | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|
| ERWS | Educational and research work on the topics of the studied subject | up to + 5,0 | | |
| SRWS | Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society department of the 1st degree | + 5.0 | | |
| | Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 2nd degree | + 4.0 | | |
| | Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 3rd degree | + 3.0 | | |
| | Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 4th degree | + 2.0 | | |
| | Certificate of the participant of the Student scientific society of the department of the 5th degree | + 1.0 | | |
| Penalties | title | Points | | |
| Disciplinary | omission of lectures or practical classes without a valid reason | - 2.0 | | |
| | Systematic lateness to lectures or practical classes | - 1.0 | | |
| | Performing independent work not on time | - 1.0 | | |
| | Violation of TV | - 2.0 | | |
| Causing material damage | Damage to equipment and property | - 2.0 | | |

3. Calculation of the intermediate attestation rating

The method of calculating the intermediate certification score (exam) (Rexam)

Intermediate certification in the discipline is carried out in the form of an exam. The exam takes place in the form of an interview with an assessment of the formation of the practical component of the competencies being formed, which includes questions on all the studied sections of the program. The minimum number of points (Rexam) that can be obtained during an interview is 61, the maximum is 100 points (Table 4).

Table 4. Criteria for assessing the level of assimilation of discipline material and the formation of competencies.

| Response Characteristics | ECTS | Points | The level of | Rating |
|---|------------|--------|--|------------------|
| Response Characteristics | assessment | in BRS | professional competence in the discipline is formed | on a 5- point |
| A complete, detailed answer to the question is given, the totality of conscious knowledge about the object is shown, manifested in the free operation of concepts, the ability to distinguish its essential and non-essential signs, cause- | A | 100–96 | high | 5 (5+) |
| and-effect relationships. Knowledge about the object | | | | |
| is demonstrated against the background of understanding it in the system of this science and interdisciplinary connections. The answer is formulated in terms of science, presented in literary language, logical, evidential, demonstrates the author's position of the student. The student demonstrates a high advanced level of competence formation | | | | |
| A complete, detailed answer to the question is given, the totality of conscious knowledge about the object is shown, the main provisions of the topic are evidently disclosed; a clear structure, logical sequence is traced in the answer, reflecting the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena. Knowledge about the object is demonstrated against the background of understanding it in the system of this science and interdisciplinary connections. The answer is presented in literary language in terms of science. There may be shortcomings in the definition of concepts, corrected by the student himself in the process of answering. The student demonstrates a high level of competence formation. | В | 95–91 | high | 5 |
| A full, detailed answer to the question is given, the ability to identify essential and non-essential features is shown, causal relationships. The answer is clearly structured, logical, presented in literary language in terms of science. There may be shortcomings or minor errors corrected by the student with the | С | 90–81 | average | 4 |

| A full, detailed answer to the question is given, the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs, cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is clearly structured, logical, stated in terms of science. However, minor mistakes or shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of | help of a teacher. The student demonstrates an | | | | |
|--|--|----|----------|-------------|--------|
| the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs, cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is clearly structured, logical, stated in terms of science. However, minor mistakes or shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | average increased level of competence formation. | | <u> </u> | | |
| signs, cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is clearly structured, logical, stated in terms of science. However, minor mistakes or shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students misuderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | A full, detailed answer to the question is given, | D | 80-76 | average | 4 (4-) |
| The answer is clearly structured, logical, stated in terms of science. However, minor mistakes or shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | the ability to identify essential and non-essential | | | | |
| terms of science. However, minor mistakes or shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | signs, cause-and-effect relationships is shown. | | | | |
| terms of science. However, minor mistakes or shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | The answer is clearly structured, logical, stated in | | | | |
| shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| student with the help of "leading" questions from the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| the teacher. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competence formation. A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student danon-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| A complete, but insufficiently consistent answer to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| to the question is given, but at the same time the ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | F | 75_71 | low | 3 (3±) |
| ability to identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | L | 73-71 | low | 3 (3+) |
| signs and cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| shown. The answer is logical and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| of science. There may be 1-2 mistakes in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| definition of basic concepts that the student finds it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| it difficult to correct on their own. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| demonstrates a low level of competence formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| formation. An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and nonessential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and nonessential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| An insufficiently complete and insufficiently detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| of the presentation have violations. Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | An insufficiently complete and insufficiently | E | 70-66 | low | 3 |
| Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | detailed answer is given. The logic and sequence | | | | |
| concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able to independently identify essential and non- essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non- essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | of the presentation have violations. | | | | |
| to independently identify essential and non- essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non- essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | Mistakes were made in the disclosure of | | | | |
| essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | concepts, the use of terms. The student is not able | | | | |
| essential signs and cause-and-effect relationships. A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | to independently identify essential and non- | | | | |
| A student can concretize generalized knowledge by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| by proving their main points by examples only with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| with the help of a teacher. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | by proving their main points by examples only | | | | |
| corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| of competence formation. An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| An incomplete answer is given, the logic and sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | - | | | | |
| sequence of presentation have significant violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non- essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | F | 65-61 | threshold | 3 (3-) |
| violations. Gross mistakes were made in determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non- essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | L | 03-01 | tinesnoid | 3 (3-) |
| determining the essence of the disclosed concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non-essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| concepts, theories, phenomena, due to students' misunderstanding of their essential and non- essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| misunderstanding of their essential and non- essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | _ | | | | |
| essential features and connections. There are no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| is not shown. Speech design requires corrections, corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| Corrections. The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| The student demonstrates the threshold level of competence formation. | | | | | |
| competence formation. | corrections. | | | | |
| competence formation. | The student demonstrates the threshold level of | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| An incomplete answer is given, which represents Fx 60-41 There is no 2 | | | | | |
| | An incomplete answer is given, which represents | Fx | 60-41 | There is no | 2 |

| scattered knowledge on the topic of the question with significant errors in definitions. There is fragmentary, illogical presentation. The student does not realize the connection of this concept, theory, phenomenon with other objects of the discipline. There are no conclusions, concretization and evidence-based presentation. The speech is illiterate. Additional and clarifying questions from the teacher do not lead to correction of the student's answer not only to the question posed, but also to other questions of the discipline. There is no competence. | | | competence. | |
|---|----|------|-------------|---|
| No answers were received on the basic questions | F | 40-0 | There is no | 2 |
| of the discipline. The student does not | 1' | 40-0 | competence. | 2 |
| demonstrate indicators of achieving the | | | F | |
| formation of competencies. There is no | | | | |
| competence. | | | | |

4. Calculation of the final rating for the discipline

The final grade that the teacher puts in the record book is the final rating for the discipline (Rd), translated into a 5-point system (Table 6).

Table 6. Final assessment of the discipline

| Assessment according to the 100-point system | Assessment according to the system "credited - not credited" | Assessment according to the 5-point system | | Assessment according to ECTS |
|--|--|--|----------------|------------------------------|
| 96-100 | counted | 5 | excellent | A |
| 91-95 | counted | | CACCHEIL | В |
| 81-90 | counted | 4 | good | С |
| 76-80 | counted | 4 | good | D |
| 61-75 | counted | 3 | satisfactory | Е |
| 41-60 | not credited | 2 | unsatisfactory | Fx |
| 0-40 | not credited | 2 | unsaustactory | F |

Considered at the department meeting fundamental and clinical biochemistry, protocol of $\ll 29$ » may 2025 y., $N \ge 12$.

Head of the Department of Basic and Clinical Biochemistry

Ogol

O.V. Ostrovskij.